



Research Article

IMPACT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF RICE (*Oriza sativa*) cv. PUSA SUGANDHA-1

RAO A.^{*1}, SHANKAR A.² AND MISHRA K.K.³

¹Department of Agronomy, Narayan Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Gopal Narayan Singh University, Jamuhar, 821305, Rohtas, Bihar, India

²Department of Soil Science, Narayan Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Gopal Narayan Singh University, Jamuhar, 821305, Rohtas, Bihar, India

³Department of Horticulture, Narayan Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Gopal Narayan Singh University, Jamuhar, 821305, Rohtas, Bihar, India

*Corresponding Author: Email - ankitaraaagron9555@gmail.com

Received: July 06, 2022; Revised: July 26, 2022; Accepted: July 27, 2022; Published: July 30, 2022

Abstract: The field experiment was conducted at Research Farm, of faculty of Agriculture and Allied Industries, Rama University, Mandhana, Kanpur, U.P. during the *Kharif* season of 2019-20. The treatments comprised of 7 nutrient management T₁ (100% N through Vermicompost) T₂ (75% N through Vermicompost) T₃ (100% NPK through fertilizers), T₄ (Farmers practice N: 60, P: 30 through fertilizers + 3 tonne FYM/ha) T₅ (50% N through Vermicompost + 50% NPK through fertilizers), T₆ (75% N through Vermicompost 25% NPK through fertilizers) and T₇ Absolute control. Various periodical observations were recorded on growth parameters, yield attributing characters, and yields under different treatments. Different yield attributing characters viz. effective tillers/panicle, length of panicle, total grains/panicle, sound grains/panicle, chaffy grains/panicle were found significantly higher in T₁ treatments. The poor growth of plants under absolute control plots was observed due to more interspecies competition for utilization of available native nutrients from the soil which leads to a lesser number of effective tillers and sound grains per panicle. On the contrary chaffiness of the grains was maximum under control as compared to the rest of the organic nutrient management. Performance of Inorganic nutrient management in rice, plant height, number of effective tillers/hill, panicle length, grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight significantly higher with the application of 100% NPK through fertilizers at par with the substitution of 50% N through vermicompost + 50% NPK through fertilizers.

Keywords: Vermicompost, Nutrient management, Tillers

Citation: Rao A., et al., (2022) Impact of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield Attributes of Rice (*Oriza sativa*) cv. Pusa Sugandha-1. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 14, Issue 7, pp.- 11520-11523.

Copyright: Copyright©2022 Rao A., et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Academic Editor / Reviewer: Sanjoy Samanta

Introduction

Indian agriculture has a serious concern about national food security including stagnation or decline in production and productivity growth rates of major crops, deterioration of soil fertility, low diversity of production systems, and increasing production costs, leaving agriculture as economically non-viable enterprises. It is due to this problem that the sustainable and eco- friendly agriculture, viz., organic farming became louder [1]. The impact of increased fertilizer use on crop production has been large and significant [2].

In recent years there has been an adverse effect of continuous and indiscriminate use of inorganic fertilizers on the deterioration of soil formation, soil health, and environmental pollution. Use of high analysis fertilizer in imbalance and indiscriminate manner had developed many problems of soil organic matter, increase in salinity, sodicity, soil pollutants and hazards of pests and diseases [3]. Rice is a dominant component of the Indian food security system as it is consumed as a major staple food. Organic nutrient management methods combine scientific knowledge of ecology and modern technology with habitual farming practices based on naturally occurring biological processes. Management has been considered as one of the best options for protecting the organic nutrient and sustaining soil health and productivity and is gaining a lot of importance in present-day agriculture.

INM approach is flexible and minimizes the use of chemicals but maximizes use of efficiency and improves the soil health. Change in cropping sequence with respect to the availability of resources the integrated approach of nutrient supply through inorganic and organic (FYM) has become very much promising in building soil health and quality of produce.

Using a judicious combination of chemical and organics for achieving enhanced and sustainable production by adopting integrated nutrient supply is imperative. At present scented rice varieties are mostly grown by the farmers under inorganic nutrient management but in order to produce better quality grain without deteriorating soil health and eliminating environmental pollution, the use of organic nutrients would be beneficial.

Material and Methods

The present investigation was conducted at Agricultural Research Farm, Faculty of Agriculture and Allied Industries, Rama University, Mandhana, Kanpur, U.P. during *kharif* season of 2019-20. The treatments were randomized in each replication separately as shown in the plan of layout. The details of the treatments and symbols used are as under. T₁ (100% N through vermicompost), T₂ (75% N through vermicompost), T₃ (100 % NPK through fertilizers), T₄ (Farmers practice N: 60, P:30 through fertilizers + 3 tonne FYM/ha), T₅ (50 % N through vermicompost + 50% NPK through fertilizers), T₆(75% N through vermicompost + 25% NPK through fertilizers), T₇ (Absolute control). Rice was grown by transplanting young seedlings. Two nursery beds of dimension 10.0 m x 1.0 m were prepared manually. These nursery beds were raised to a height of 5 cm by spreading the loose soils on the bed taken from both sides in length dimension of nursery bed. A 30 cm wide and 10 cm deep channel was prepared by digging the soil on both sides of the nursery bed. Then healthy seeds of rice variety were sown in nursery beds at the rate of 30 kg/ha on 21 June 2019. After this, seeds were covered with fine soil and vermicompost.

Table-1 *Effective tillers/hill of rice as influenced by nutrient management*

Treatment No.	Treatment	Effective tiller/hill
T ₁	100% N though Vermicompost	8.44
T ₂	75% N though Vermicompost	8.22
T ₃	100 % NPK though fertilizers	9.89
T ₄	Farmers practice N:60, P:30 though fertilizer + 3 tonne FYM/ha	7.33
T ₅	50 % N though Vermicompost + 50 % NPK though fertilizers	9.44
T ₆	75% N though Vermicompost + 25 % NPK though fertilizer	9.22
T ₇	Absolute control	4.89
SEm±		0.21
CD at 5%		0.64

Table-2 *Length of panicle (cm) of rice as influenced by nutrient management*

Treatment No.	Treatment	Length of panicle (cm)
T ₁	100% N though Vermicompost	26.94
T ₂	75% N though Vermicompost	26.37
T ₃	100 % NPK though fertilizers	30.04
T ₄	Farmers practice N:60, P:30 though fertilizer + 3 tonne FYM/ha	25.69
T ₅	50 % N though Vermicompost + 50 % NPK though fertilizers	28.46
T ₆	75% N though Vermicompost + 25 % NPK though fertilizer	28.12
T ₇	Absolute control	24.13
SEm±		0.65
CD at 5%		1.96

Table-3 *Number of grains/panicle of rice as influenced by nutrient management*

Treatment No.	Treatment	Grains/panicle
T ₁	100% N though Vermicompost	71
T ₂	75% N though Vermicompost	70.33
T ₃	100 % NPK though fertilizers	73
T ₄	Farmers practice N:60, P:30 though fertilizer + 3 tonne FYM/ha	70
T ₅	50 % N though Vermicompost + 50 % NPK though fertilizers	72.33
T ₆	75% N though Vermicompost + 25 % NPK though fertilizer	71.33
T ₇	Absolute control	63.33
SEm±		0.94
CD at 5%		2.82

Table-4 *Filled grains/panicle of rice as influenced by nutrient management*

Treatment No.	Treatment	Filled grains/panicle
T ₁	100% N though Vermicompost	59.67
T ₂	75% N though Vermicompost	58.67
T ₃	100 % NPK though fertilizers	63.33
T ₄	Farmers practice N:60, P:30 though fertilizer + 3 tonne FYM/ha	58.33
T ₅	50 % N though Vermicompost + 50 % NPK though fertilizers	62.33
T ₆	75% N though Vermicompost + 25 % NPK though fertilizer	60.67
T ₇	Absolute control	50.33
SEm±		1.41
CD at 5%		4.41

Table-5 *Unfilled grains/panicle of rice as influenced by nutrient management*

Treatment No.	Treatment	Unfilled grains/panicle
T ₁	100% N though Vermicompost	11.33
T ₂	75% N though Vermicompost	11.67
T ₃	100 % NPK though fertilizers	9.67
T ₄	Farmers practice N:60, P:30 though fertilizer + 3 tonne FYM/ha	12
T ₅	50 % N though Vermicompost + 50 % NPK though fertilizers	10
T ₆	75% N though Vermicompost + 25 % NPK though fertilizer	10.67
T ₇	Absolute control	13
SEm±		0.25
CD at 5%		0.78

Table-6 *Sterility percentage of rice as influenced by nutrient management*

Treatment No.	Treatment	Sterility percentage
T ₁	100% N though Vermicompost	15.98
T ₂	75% N though Vermicompost	16.62
T ₃	100 % NPK though fertilizers	13.2
T ₄	Farmers practice N:60, P:30 though fertilizer + 3 tonne FYM/ha	17.18
T ₅	50 % N though Vermicompost + 50 % NPK though fertilizers	13.84
T ₆	75% N though Vermicompost + 25 % NPK though fertilizer	15.02
T ₇	Absolute control	20.49
SEm±		0.36
CD at 5%		1.12

Table-7 Grain yield (kg/ha) of rice as influence by nutrient management

Treatment No.	Treatment	Grain yield (kg/ha)
T ₁	100% N though Vermicompost	2898
T ₂	75% N though Vermicompost	2506
T ₃	100 % NPK though fertilizers	3233
T ₄	Farmers practice N:60, P:30 though fertilizer + 3 tonne FYM/ha	1632
T ₅	50 % N though Vermicompost + 50 % NPK though fertilizers	3110
T ₆	75% N though Vermicompost + 25 % NPK though fertilizer	3045
T ₇	Absolute control	1106
SEm±		66.36
CD at 5%		206.76

Table-8 Straw yield (kg/ha) of rice as influenced by nutrient management

Treatment No.	Treatment	Straw yield (kg/ha)
T ₁	100% N though Vermicompost	5020
T ₂	75% N though Vermicompost	4699
T ₃	100 % NPK though fertilizers	5411
T ₄	Farmers practice N:60, P:30 though fertilizer + 3 tonne FYM/ha	3436
T ₅	50 % N though Vermicompost + 50 % NPK though fertilizers	5272
T ₆	75% N though Vermicompost + 25 % NPK though fertilizer	5229
T ₇	Absolute control	2544
SEm±		115.69
CD at 5%		360

Observations were recorded Number effective tillers/hill, Panicle length, Number grains/panicle, Number filled and chaffy grains/panicle, Sterility percentage, Test weight, Biological yield, Grain yield, Straw yield, Harvest index.

Result and Discussion

These results are concisely described in this chapter along with data in concerning tables. The data recorded on number of effective tillers/hill are given in [Table-1]. In T₃ 100% NPK through fertilizers resulted in appreciably highest effective tillers (9.89/hill) and at par with T₅ followed by T₆ over rest of the nutrient management. Similar findings were also reported by Mhaskar *et al.* (2005) [4], Budhar and Mani (2010) [5], Hossaen *et al.* (2011) [6], and Hammad *et al.* (2011) [7]. Result presented in [Table-2] indicated that the panicle length was minimum (24.13 cm) under control and it was increased with the addition of nutrient management. Among the treatments T₃ 100% NPK through fertilizers registered longer panicle length (30.04 cm) than that of T₅ (28.46 cm). These findings are in close conformity with the results of Manjunath *et al.* (2012) [8] and Shanmugam and Veeraputhran (2000) [9]. The data showed in [Table-3] the significant variations in terms of grains/panicle were also observed due to nutrient management. The number of grains/panicle was found minimum (63.33) under absolute control and maximum under T₃ 100% NPK through fertilizers resulted in appreciably more number of grains/panicle (73.00). These findings are in close conformity with the results of Manjunath *et al.* (2012) and Shanmugam and Veeraputhran (2000). Data presented in [Table-4]. It is exhibited significant variations in the number of filled grains/panicle. Significantly a greater number of filled grains/panicle were noted with the application of T₃ 100% NPK through fertilizer (63.33). These findings are in close conformity with the results of Manjunath *et al.* (2012) and Shanmugam and Veeraputhran (2000). In [Table-5] lowest number of unfilled grains/panicle was found (9.67) with T₃ in comparison to T₄ and T₇. These findings are in close conformity with the results of Manjunath *et al.* (2012) and Shanmugam and Veeraputhran (2000). The sterility percent noted under each treatment are presented in [Table-6].

Nutrients was not applied (T₇) had appreciably more sterility per cent (20.49) over rest of the nutrient management treatments. The sterility was least (13.20) under T₃ 100% NPK through fertilizers in comparison to rest of the nutrient management treatments. It is also clear from the data that there was significant difference in grain yield due to different nutrient management given in [Table-7]. These results collaborated with the findings of Murali and Setty (2001). The yield was appreciably more under T₃ 100% NPK through fertilizer (3,233kg/ha) and at par with T₅ in comparison to rest of the nutrient management. The results further indicate that addition of T₃ 100% NPK through fertilizers resulted marked influenced on straw yield presented in [Table-8] and produced higher straw yield (5411 kg/ha) over rest of the treatments.

Similar results were obtained by Jing *et al.* (2009) [10], Kumar and Prasad (2010), Kumari *et al.* (2010) [11], Pooniya & Shivay (2011) [12] and Soni *et al.* (2012) [13].

Application of research: Study of nutrient management on growth and yield attributes of rice

Research Category: Nutrient Management

Acknowledgement / Funding: Authors are thankful to Department of Agronomy; Department of Soil Science; Department of Horticulture, Narayan Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Gopal Narayan Singh University, Jamuhar, 821305, Rohtas, Bihar, India.

****Principal Investigator or Chairperson of research:** Dr Ankita Rao

University: Gopal Narayan Singh University, Jamuhar, 821305, Bihar, India

Research project name or number: Research station study

Author Contributions: All authors equally contributed

Author statement: All authors read, reviewed, agreed and approved the final manuscript. Note-All authors agreed that- Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to publish / enrolment

Study area / Sample Collection: Agricultural Research Farm, Faculty of Agriculture and Allied Industries, Rama University, Kanpur, 209217

Cultivar / Variety / Breed name: Rice (*Oriza sativa*) cv. Pusa Sugandha-1

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Ethical Committee Approval Number: Nil

References

- [1] Saini S.K. and Pandey S.T. (2009) *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 54(2), 193-199.
- [2] Hossain M. and Singh V.P. (2000) *Nutr. Cycl. Agro.eco. Sys.*, 57, 155-169.
- [3] Chakraborti M. and Singh N.P. (2004) *Agrobios Newsletter*, 2(8), 14-19.

- [4] Mhaskar N.V., Dongale J.H., Dademal A.A. and Khanvilkar S.A. (2005) *Oryza*, 42 (4), 323-326.
- [5] Budhar M.N. and Mani A.K. (2010) *Rice Congress IRRI 50 the Anniversary Abstract Hanoi Vietnam*, 3780.
- [6] Hossain M.A., Shamsuddoha A.T.M., Paul A.K., Bhuiyan M.S.I. and Zobaer A.S.M. (2011) *The Agriculturists*, 9(1&2), 117-125.
- [7] Hammad M.H., Ahmad A., Khalifa Q.L., Abbas F., Wajid N., Wajid F. and Ali H.M. (2011) *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science*, 48(2), 97-102.
- [8] Manjunath, B.L., Prabhudesai H.R., Wasnik H.M., Falerio J.R., Ramesh R. and Talashilkar S. (2012) *International Journal of Current Microbial Applied Science*, 2(11), 339-346.
- [9] Shanmugam P.M. and Veeraputhran R. (2000) *Madras Agriculture journal*, 87(3), 90-93.
- [10] Jing Q., Keulen H.V., Hengsdijk H., Cao W., Bindraban P.S., Dai T. and Jiang D. (2009) *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 147(3), 303-312.
- [11] Kumari N., Singh A.K., Pal S.K. and Thakur R. (2010) *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 55(3), 220-223.
- [12] Pooniya, V. and Shivay, Y.S. (2011) *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 30(1), 28-34.
- [13] Soni M., Upadhyay V.B., Vishwakarma S.K. and Singh P. (2012) *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 57(1), 8-13.