

International Journal of Agriculture Sciences

ISSN: 0975-3710&E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 8, Issue 52, 2016, pp.-2423-2426. Available online at http://www.bioinfopublication.org/jouarchive.php?opt=&jouid=BPJ0000217

Research Article

TRIBAL DEVELOPMENT IN NANDURBAR DISTRICT THROUGH NETWORKING OF SELF HELP GROUPS

NAVADKAR D.S.*, PATIL M.R. AND WAKADE D.D.

College of Agriculture, Dhule, Department of Agricultural Economics, Maharashtra Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Ahmednagar, 413722, Maharashtra *Corresponding Author: Email-dsnchangbhal@gmail.com

Received: July 09, 2016; Revised: August 18, 2016; Accepted: August 23, 2016; Published: October 30, 2016

Abstract- After formation of SHG, the area under cultivation increased because of technology transfer. Even though the cropping pattern was dominated by food grain crops the proportionate share has been diverted towards high valued crops. Major proportions of the members were aware of the loan terms and conditions repayment of loan. The SHG's in accordance with their mandates conducted different types of awareness and extension programme trainings, meetings, lectures, demonstration it has resulted in the positive change in the knowledge and attitude of family members. Because of this, they had changed in their nature of work.

Keywords- Tribal Development, Self Help Groups, Socio-economic, Technology transfer, Awareness.

Citation: Navadkar D.S., et al., (2016) Tribal Development in Nandurbar District through Networking of Self Help Groups. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 8, Issue 52, pp.-2423-2426.

Copyright: Copyright©2016 Navadkar D.S., et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Academic Editor / Reviewer: Basa Swaminath

Introduction

The Self Help Group (SHG) is an informal organization of 10 to 20 persons from the homogeneous poor section of the society and which is controlled and managed by its own members. The regular saving contribution to corpus fund is the eligibility to join the SHG. The mutual trust is the spirit of the organization. It has its own set of rules and regulations and there is transparency and accountability in SHG transactions. The SHG's are formed by the promoters like banks, NGO's and Developmental Departments such as Department of Women and Child Development. The SHG's are of two types i.e. women and men SHG's. The basic objectives and functions of SHG's are to promote self help and mutual help, saving, micro-enterprise, credit linkage with credit institutions, etc. These SHG's have positive impact on socio-economic conditions of the tribal families. Hence, there is need to carry out more research about functioning of SHG's for welfare of rural tribal people.

Materials and Methods

Out of six tahsils of Nandurbar district, three tribal tahsils *viz;* Dhadgaon, Akkalkuwa and Shahada were selected. The study is based on primary and secondary data pertaining to the year 2013-014.

In all three SHG's each having active performance for more than three years in major three subsidiary activities i.e. cow rearing, buffalo rearing and goat rearing were considered for the study. Thus, nine SHG's were selected of which ten members of each SHG for pre and post SHG period were surveyed; the total sample comprised of 90 member families of SHG's

Results

Family composition, land holding and livestock of sample SHG members

The detailed data [Table-1] indicated small family size (3.49 to 4.43 members per family). The educational status of the members of selected SHG's was moderate i.e. having larger male & female members portion in primary and secondary education. The members of Cow SHGs were relatively higher educated. Both male and female shared for equal earnings. The small size land holding was

noticed (0.17 to 0.22 ha.) for all SHG's with larger portion as rain fed farming excepting Buffalo SHG group wherein 33 per cent area was irrigated. The milch animals shared 18 per cent of livestock position in cow SHG with 24 per cent in Buffaloe SHG. Nearly, 80 per cent goats comprised of livestock under Goat SHG. All the group members had a good quantum of poultry birds. With the passage of time, after formation of SHG's, the members educational status and livestock position showed positive signs.

Capital assets of SHG members

The total average assets value was Rs. 109791 Rs. 121850 and Rs. 90549 for cow and buffaloe and Goat SHG, respectively. The area under cultivation increased after formation of SHG's as the fallow land was brought under cultivation by the members of all groups of SHG's. The irrigated area for buffaloe SHG's was also increased. Since the members are aware of technology transfer, the positive increase in the position of buildings, implement,, machineries has been noticed. However, the number of goats in Cow and Buffaloe SHG was reduced. In the cow SHG, the position of buffaloe was reduced resulting in negative change in total livestock position. The asset position showed positive trend with a magnitude of 42-45 per cent in cow and buffaloe SHG and 120 per cent in Goat SHG [Table-2].

Amenities

The amenities of the SHG members included for cooking, furniture, vehicle, entertainments etc. After the formation of SHG's all the member families endowed with good amenities for above purpose. Beyond the situation, they have created the luxuries amenities too [Table-3].

The amenities towards vehicle in Cow SHG and entertainment in Buffaloe and Goat SHG jumped-up. The change in monitory terms of amenities ranged in between 54 to 142 per cent in all SHG's.

Employment

All the SHG's showed a positive impact on employment of male, female and child

||Bioinfo Publications|| 2423

Table-1 Composition of farm family, land and livestock of SHG (No./family)

Sr.No.			C	ow SHG	Buffa	aloe SHG	Goat SHG		
	Par	rticulars	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	
1	Family	Male	1.23	35.24	1.1	28.42	1.56	35.22	
	composition	Female	1.2	34.39	1.23	31.78	1.8	40.63	
		Children	1.06	30.37	1.54	39.79	1.07	24.15	
		Total	3.49	100.00	3.87	100.00	4.43	100.00	
2	Education Male	Illiterate	0.13	10.58	0.02	1.82	0.23	14.74	
		Primary	0.28	22.76	0.3	27.27	0.55	35.26	
		Secondary	0.54	43.90	0.68	61.82	0.67	42.95	
		Higher Sec.	0.28	22.76	0.1	9.09	0.11	7.06	
		Total	1.23	100.00	1.1	100.00	1.56	86.67	
	Female	Illiterate	0.2	16.67	0.13	10.57	0.34	18.89	
		Primary	0.46	38.33	0.49	39.84	0.56	31.11	
		Secondary	0.54	45.00	0.61	49.59	0.8	44.44	
		Higher Sec.	0	0.00	0	0	0.1	5.56	
		Total	1.2	100.00	1.23	100.00	1.8	100.00	
3	Earners	Male	1.2	50.63	1.1	47.21	1.43	50.53	
		Female	1.17	49.37	1.23	52.79	1.4	49.47	
		Total	2.37	100.00	2.33	100.00	2.83	100.00	
4	Land holding	Irrigated	0	0	0.06	33.33	-		
	(ha.)	Rainfed	0.19	86.36	0.14	77.78	0.16	94.12	
		Fallow	0.03	13.64	0.01	5.56	0.01	5.88	
		Total land	0.22	100.00	0.21	116.67	0.17	100.00	
5	Livestock	Draft	0.33	5.76	0.1	2.54	0	0	
		Milch	1	17.45	0.93	23.66	0	0	
		Goat	1.1	19.20	0.27	6.87	8.17	79.55	
		Poultry	3.3	57.59	2.63	66.92	2.1	20.45	
		Total	5.73	100.00	3.93	100.00	10.27	100.00	

Table-2 Asset position of sample SHG members

			Table-Z Asset p			0110		0110
Sr.No	Particulars	Period		SHG	Buffalo			SHG
4			Quantity	(Rs.)	Quantity	(Rs.)	Quantity	(Rs.)
1	Land (ha.)				T			
		Before	0.08	800	0.07	700	0.04	400
	a.Fallow	After	0.03	600	0.01	200	0.01	200
		%change	-62.50	-25.00	-85.71	-71.43	-75.00	-50.00
		Before	0.24	12000	0.21	11000	0.16	8000
	b.Rainfed	After	0.29	29000	0.24	24000	0.19	19000
		%change	20.83	141.67	14.29	118.18	18.75	137.50
		Before	-	-	0.03	800	-	-
	c.lrrigated	After	-	-	0.06	1500	-	-
		%change	-	-	100	87.5	-	-
		Before	0.32	12800	0.31	11700	0.20	8400
	Total	After	0.32	29600	0.31	24200	0.20	19200
		%change	0.00	131.25	0.00	106.84	0.00	128.57
		Before	1.47	13370	1.3	40926	1	20017
2	Buildings (No.)	After	1.6	25390	1.45	45838	1.1	28345
		%change	8.84	89.90	11.54	12.00	10.00	41.60
		Before	1.2	1280	1.47	1193	0.57	322
3	Implements (No.)	After	1.47	1450	1.47	1490	0.63	750
		%change	22.50	13.28	0.00	24.90	10.53	132.92
		Before	-	-	0.06	1266	-	-
4	Irrigation Structure(No.)	After	-	-	0.56	1999	-	-
		%change	-	-	833.33	57.90	-	-
		Before	-	-	0.13	1293	-	-
5	Machinery (No.)	After	-	-	0.17	1846	-	-
		%change	-	-	30.77	42.77	-	-
		Before	1.93	275	5.47	426	4.4	336
6	Other tools (No.)	After	1.83	982	2.5	220		297
		%change	-5.18	257.09	-54.30	-48.36		-11.61
		Before	-	40525	-	69304	-	37475
	Total	After	-	87022	-	101293	-	67792
		%change		114.74		46.16		80.90
II. Lives	tock	7.5						
=		Before	0.66	9000	0.57	8333	0.33	3300
7	Draft (No.)	After	0.33	4733	0.1	1233	-	-
•	('''	%change	-50.00	-47.41	-82.46	-85.20	_	
		Before	0.53	5650	0.1	1133	0.03	1667
8	Milch Cow (No.)	After	0.67	7383	0.1	1133	-	-
Ü	1111011 0011 (110.)	%change	26.42	30.67	0.00	0.00	-	-
		Before	0.87	17683	0.27	4920	-	
9	Buffaloe(No.)	After	0.33	6510	0.83	16517	- 0.20 0.20 0.00 1 1.1 10.00 0.57 0.63 10.53 	
5	Danaloc(140.)	%change	-62.07	-63.18	207.41	235.71		<u>:</u>

		Before	0.63	1930	1.23	2693	3.33	8540
10	Goat(No.)	After	0.1	3250	0.27	917	8.17	22137
		%change	-84.13	68.39	-78.05	-65.95	145.35	159.22
		Before	1.67	460	1.1	267	1.43	403
11	Poultry	After	3.3	893	2.63	757	2.10	620
		%change	97.60	94.13	139.09	183.52	46.85	53.85
		Before	4.36	34723	3.27	17346	5.11	3703
	Total	After	4.73	22769	3.93	20557	10.27	22757
		%change	8.49	-34.43	20.18	18.51	100.98	514.56
		Before	-	75248	•	86650	•	41178
	Grand Total	After	-	109791	-	121850	-	90549
		%change	-	45.91	-	40.62	-	119.90

Table-3 Amenities of sample SHG members (Quantity in No. & Value in Rs.)

Sr.	Particulars	Period	Cow S	HG	Buffaloe	Goat SHG		
No.	Faiticulais	Period	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
		Before	0.87	111	0.97	160	0.9	379
1	Cooking	After	0.89	267	1.12	553	0.93	418
		%change	2.30	140.54	15.46	245.63	3.33	10.29
		Before	1.27	1396	2.73	2093	1.77	884
2	Furniture	After	3.43	2869	2.76	2427	1.9	895
		%change	170.08	105.52	1.10	15.96	7.34	1.24
		Before	0.16	230	0.23	1807	0.16	9170
3	Vehicles	After	0.4	973	0.27	2257	0.33	9347
		%change	150.00	323.04	17.39	24.90	106.25	1.93
		Before	0.73	1890	0.4	675	0.3	283
4	Entertaiments	After	0.73	1940	0.53	4467	1	4427
		%change	0.00	2.65	32.50	561.78	233.33	1464.31
		Before	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Others sources	After	0.13	142	0.53	1760	0.6	1379
		%change	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Before	3.03	3627	4.33	4735	3.13	10716
	Total	After	5.58	6191	5.21	11464	4.76	16466
		%change	84.16	70.69	20.32	142.11	52.08	53.66

labour [Table-4].

Under the cow SHG employment of all labours reduced for crop production since the area under food grain crop has been reduced. The activities of SHG's laid down the ground for increasing the opportunities for employment on other farm work, service and business. Since the member are aware of the updated knowledge inherently affecting positively on their level of thinking. All these facts resulted to enhance the employment.

Income

It was observed that, The total income of Cow, Buffalo and Goat SHG accrued to Rs. 1,46,305, Rs. 75974 and Rs. 64746, respectively with 18,38 and 21 percent positive change. The income from crop production under cow SHG has been reduced as the area under food grain crop was decreased by diverting the area towards other activities. There was a good quantum of increase in the income from livestock's production and sale [Table-5].

Table-4 Employ	vment under	different	heads	(Manda)	/s)

Sr.No.	Particulars		Cow SHG			Buffaloe SHG	• /	Goat SHG					
		Before	After	% change	Before	After	% change	Before	After	% change			
1		Crop Production											
	a. Male	15.4	15.33	-0.45	30.46	32.5	6.70	21.5	22.84	6.23			
	b.Female	18	15.33	-14.83	17.8	18.33	2.98	15.87	16.35	3.02			
	c. child	6.07	1.33	-78.09	1.03	-		0.73	4.32	491.78			
2					Other farm wo	ork			After				
	a. Male	3.73	5.8	55.50	5.97	8.6	44.05	11.87	13.25	11.63			
	b.Female	5.97	8.68	45.39	5	6.65	33.00	6.37	8.48	33.12			
	c. child	0.6	6.07	9.11	0.4	1.03	157.50	0.27	0.73	170.37			
3	Cattle keeping												
	a. Male	69.17	75.18	8.69	62.17	68.28	9.83	61.53	66.36	7.85			
	b.Female	80.57	82.46	2.35	48.8	56.32	15.41	54.33	58.38	7.45			
	c. child	33	35	6.06	5.07	15.07	197.24	3.7	6.56	77.30			
4					Service /Busin	ess							
	a. Male	8.67	10.48	20.88	15.6	16.68	6.92	18.5	20.22	9.30			
	b.Female	13.33	15.25	14.40	16.6	16.85	1.51	15.03	22.86	52.10			
	c. child	8.67	9.89	14.07	-	-	•	-	-				
	Total												
	a. Male	96.97	106.79	10.13	114.2	126.06	10.39	113.4	122.67	8.17			
	b.Female	117.87	121.72	3.27	88.2	98.15	11.28	91.6	106.07	15.80			
	c. child	48.34	52.29	8.17	6.5	16.1	147.69	4.7	11.61	147.02			

Activities of SHG's

Major proportions of the members were aware of the loan terms and conditions repayment of loan. The SHG's in accordance with their mandates conducted different types of awareness and extension programme trainings, meetings, lectures, demonstration it has resulted in the positive change in the knowledge and attitude of family members. Because of this, they had changed in their nature

of work. More than 90 percent members opinioned that the SHG are performing in a good manner and there is a transparency in their work. If conflicts existed in between the members, with the effective leadership, the SHG enters and succeeded in their summit. This lead to think the members towards development of SHG and also provide some freedom for flexibility in the activities of SHG's. Therefore, the members were pledged of working of SHG's [Table-6].

Table-5 Income of SHG's mebers families.(Rs.)

Sr.No.	Particulars		Cow Sh	łG		Buffaloe SH	G		Goat SH	G
		Before	After	% change	Before	After	% change	Before	After	% change
1	Crop production	14586	12900	-11.6	22334	26951	20.67	12060	15150	25.62
2	Livestock production									
	Milk -cow	11323	18637	64.6	2496	2667	6.85	0	0	
	Milk -buffoloe	6550	9400	43.51	23651	31017	31.14	0	0	
	Milk goat	653	807	23.6	87	87	0.00	7133	9000	26.17
3				Li	vestock sale					
	cow	3367	6160	83.0	547	847	54.84	0	0	
	buffoloe	0	0		0	0		0	0	
	goat	553	1153	108.5	400	567	41.75	5693	8587	50.83
	Manure	433	1700	292.6	2833	9300	228.27	1833	2110	15.11
4				Off-fa	ırm emplyomer	nt				
	Farm Wages	33813	32035	-5.3	2573	4511	75.32	24568	26382	7.38
	EGS	-	-	-		-	-	867	917	5.77
5	others	3033	3513	15.8	-	-	-	1200	2600	116.67
	Services, business									
	Total	74311	86305	17.7	54921	75947	38.28	53354	64746	21.35

Table-6 Opinions of members about performance and activities of SHG

Sr. No.	Particulars Particulars	Cow SI	lG	Buffalo S	SHG	Goat Sh	IG	
		N= 30		N= 30		N= 30		
		Frequency	%	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	
1	Awareness of provision of loan facility & repayment	26	86.67	27	90.00	28	93.33	
2	Occurance of change in knowledge of family members	28	93.33	29	96.67	27	90.00	
3	Positive changes in attitudes	27	90.00	28	93.33	28	93.33	
4	Change in nature of work	25	83.33	22	73.33	22	73.33	
5	Transferency in work of SHG	28	93.33	27	90.00	28	93.33	
6	Good performance of SHG	27	90.00	25	83.33	24	80.00	
7	Summit in conflicts of members	22	73.33	19	63.33	17	56.67	
8	Effective leadership	28	93.33	25	83.33	24	80.00	
9	Democratic elections	29	96.67	30	100.00	29	96.67	
10	Flexibility in freedom of activities	24	80.00	25	83.33	27	90.00	
11	Development in work of SHG	29	96.67	30	100.00	29	96.67	

Conclusions

The small family size with moderate educational status. The livestock position was quiet good. After formation of SHG, the area under cultivation increased because of technology transfer. The asset position with respect to buildings, implements machinery showed positive impact. After the formation of SHG all the member families endowed sound position with goods-basic and luxurious amenities. The activities of SHG's lead for increasing opportunities for employment on other farm, service and business. The total income of Cow, Buffalo & Goat SHG's increased by 18, 38 & 21 percent particularly with increased income from livestock production and sale.

Abbreviation: SHG-Self Help Group

Author Contributions: None declared

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Acknowledgement/ Funding resource: None

References

- [1] Acharya P., Behera S.K. and Mohrana J.R. (2015) *Indian J. of Hill Farming*, 28 (2), 107-110.
- [2] Bhatnagar (2001) Tribal Research Bulletin, 2492(2), 31-39.
- [3] Das, Eli Kumari and Dharitri Baishya, 92015) IOSR J. of Economics

and Finance, 6(2), 27-31.

- [4] Government of India (2001), National Policy for the Empowerment of Women, Delhi: Department of Women and Child (2007), Tribal India, 1 st Chapter. Lucknow: New Royal Book Company, 13-20
- [5] Gnanadhas Edwin and Mahalekshmi M. (2011) Micro Finance and SHGs, New Delhi. Discovery Publishing House.
- [6] Hasnain Nadeem (2007) Tribal India, 1 st Chapter, Lukhnow :New Royal Book Company, 19-20.
- [7] Hire S.S. (2006) Employment, Income and Consumption Pattern of Tribals in Igatpuri tahsil of nashik District, M.Sc (Agri.) Thesis , MPKV, Rahuri.
- [8] Jasudkar S. P.(2000) A Socio-economic Study of the Beneficiaries of Tribal Sub-plan Programme with reference to Agriculture from Ambegaon Block of Pune District, M.Sc (Agri.) Thesis, MPKV, Rahuri.
- [9] Manga B.V.L.A. (2011) Women and Self-help Groups- A Study, Akankha Publishing House, New Delhi.
- 10] Nazir T., Vaida N., Dar M.A. (2013) Basic Research J. of Agril. Science and Review, 2 (3),51-56.
- 11] Raheem Abdul (2011) Women empowerment through SHGs, New Century Publications, New Delhi.
- 12] Talmaki Rekha K. (2012) Socio-economic development of Tribal Women: Changes and Challenges, Mittal Publications, Women Pres, Delhi.