PLASMA BNP LEVEL AS PREDICTOR TOOL OF MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION IN DIABETIC PATIENTS
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Abstract- Early detection of asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) or diastolic dysfunction (DD) in Type II Diabetes Mellitus patients may prevent complication such as Myocardial infarction. Present study is aimed to study the prediction chances of myocardial infarction in type II Diabetes mellitus patients using co-relation of plasma BNP level with 2-D-ECHO findings. A total of 100 diabetes mellitus type II patients were studied of which 50 DM had evidence of MI and remaining 50 were without any evidence of MI for more than 5 yrs. BNP values were inversely proportional to left ventricular systolic ejection fraction. This correlation was significant (p<0.001). Elevated BNP levels were significantly correlated with presence of Diastolic Dysfunction (p<0.001). In our study the BNP cutoff value of 100pg/ml had a sensitivity of 90%, specificity of 70%. In patients with only Diabetes, of the 14 patients with elevated BNP levels and normal Ejection Fraction, 10 revealed diastolic dysfunction. Of the remaining 4, further follow-up of two patients showed presence of Coronary Artery Disease, indicates that BNP is good investigation for detecting underlying coronary artery disease in high risk population such as Type II Diabetes Mellitus.
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Introduction

Discovery of the cardiac peptides in the 1980s renewed the appreciation of the heart as an endocrine organ. It also opened a new area of cardiovascular-renal physiology, raising hope for further diagnostic and therapeutic progress in the management of heart failure and condition causing heart failure [1]. B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) is a 32-amino-acid polypeptide secreted by the cardiac ventricles in response to ventricular volume expansion and pressure overload [2, 3, and 4]. It is released in a wide range of cardiovascular disease, including systolic and diastolic left ventricular dysfunction, coronary artery disease, valvular heart disease, acute and chronic right ventricular failure, and left and right ventricular hypertrophy secondary to arterial or pulmonary hypertension. Serum Brain Natriuretic Peptide (BNP) assessment has demonstrated utility for prognostic stratification of patients with heart failure and coronary artery disease (CAD), increased BNP levels in CAD patients have higher rate of cardiac complications and higher mortality post MI. Observational studies have suggested that, when used in conjunction with other clinical information, B-type natriuretic peptide levels may be useful in establishing or ruling out the diagnosis of heart failure [5,6,7,8,9]. However, it has been reported that variety of endocrine, paracrine, and autocrine factors that are initiated in heart failure, including norepinephrine, angiotensin II, glucocorticoids, and proinflammatory cytokines known to stimulate the BNP expression and release[10]. Yamamoto et al proved conclusively that BNP is superior to both N-terminal and C-terminal ANP as a marker for ventricular systolic or diastolic dysfunction and ventricular hypertrophy in patients with, or at risk for, cardiac disease [11]. Specifically, BNP demonstrated greater sensitivity and specificity than N-terminal or C-terminal ANP for reductions in ejection fraction or increases in left ventricular (LV) mass [12]. Cowie et al. has evaluated levels of natriuretic peptides in assessment of patients with possible new CHF and observed BNP had the highest sensitivity (97%) and specificity (84%) for the diagnosis of CHF in the primary care outpatient setting [13]. The Present study has been undertaken to measure plasma BNP levels in patients of MI with DM as well as diabetic patients with-
out history of MI or IHD to evaluate the cardiac status using relationship of BNP Level with 2D-ECHO. It is also attempted to predict the chances of myocardial infarction in the high risk population group of patients with Type II Diabetes Mellitus.

Materials & Methods
A total of 100 patients comprise of 50 cases of Type II DM with MI and remaining 50 cases are of only Type II DM without history of MI were studied prospectively over a period of 2 years from October 2008 through October 2010. The cases selected amongst the general population in and around hospital and were subjected to detail history, clinical examination and routine laboratory investigations for diabetic profile.

Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was estimated by visual assessment of LV contractile performance and wall motion in multiple 2-dimensional views. The LVEF was categorized as normal (>=60%), moderately diminished (44%-59%), and severely impaired (30%-44%). The accuracy of such visual assessment of LVEF has been validated previously [14]. Diastolic function was estimated by spectral Doppler. Systolic and diastolic dysfunction was defined as ejection fraction <50% with global hypokinesia or discrete wall motion abnormalities along with diastolic dysfunction as described.

Measurement of BNP Plasma Levels
Blood sample for BNP estimation was collected in plastic tubes using EDTA plasma is used for the AxSYM BNP assay which is based on MEIA technology (Micro particle Enzyme Immuno Assay). It is 2-step micro particle enzyme immunoassay using BNP specific monoclonal antibodies that has been developed for use on the automated AxSYM analyzer. The analyte is captured using anti-BNP coated microparticles with detection via anti-BNP conjugated alkaline phosphatase and measurement of fluorescence produced from the breakdown of a 4-methylumbelliferone phosphate substrate. The assay has a dynamic range of 0 - 4,000 pg/ml [15, 16].

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis of BNP values was carried out using “analyze it” software for comparison of both the group using t tests for independent samples and ANOVA. Results are expressed as mean ± SE for raw values. Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were computed for BNP using appropriate cut points. Prognostic utility of the BNP level was computed using receiver operating curve (ROC) and expressed the results as area under the curve with 95%CI for this area.

Observations and Results
The analysis of observations of all the 100 cases of group A and B revealed that Males (n=62) demonstrated that higher mean BNP values (74.51 ± 47 and 824.14 ± 47 pg/ml respectively ) than Females (69.85 ± 34 and 727.54 ± 47 pg/ml respectively ) irrespective of evidence of CAD. However the difference between BNP level of male and female was statistically insignificant and did not demonstrate relevance of BNP level with gender variation. In group A, 49 patients were found to be with normal ejection fraction (above 60%) except one patient who had ejection fraction 55%. Diastolic dysfunction was seen in 23 patients with normal ejection fraction. In group B, 48 patients were found to be with abnormal ejection fraction ranging from 60 to 30% while 2 patients were with ejection fraction above 60%. 34 patients of group had Diastolic dysfunction with poor ventricular ejection fraction below 50%. Analysis of BNP level and its relevance with ejection fraction, diastolic function in diabetic patients is summarized in table -1. It is observed that mean BNP level (72.18 pg/ml) in diabetic patients without any evidence of MI were significantly reduced as compared to mean BNP level (799.02 pg/ml) observed in DM patients associated with symptoms of MI. It is seen that asymptomatic diabetic patients of group A, who were found to have normal left ventricular function on echo but with presence of diastolic dysfunction patients have demonstrated lower BNP level (150.04 pg/ml) as compared to BNP Level (682.54 pg/ml) seen in symptomatic diabetic patients with evidence of MI and difference was found to be statistically significant. Further statistically significant elevated BNP level (910.25 pg/ml) were seen in patients having DM with depressed ventricular and diastolic function as compared to asymptomatic patients, who were found to have normal left ventricular function on echo without MI. ROC analysis was carried out to assess the ability of plasma BNP level to determine its predictive value in patients with normal versus abnormal left ventricular function. It is seen that area under the ROC curve, using BNP to separate normal versus abnormal left ventricular function in patients with evidence of MI was 0.86 (95% CI 0.83-0.94, P < 0.001). A BNP cutoff value of 150 pg/ml had a sensitivity of 86%, a specificity of 72%, and an accuracy of 92%. Levels of ≥120 pg/ml had a positive predictive value of 93%. In group A, ROC analysis revealed that plasma BNP to separate normal versus abnormal left ventricular function was less than that for those of group B, but still highly significant (AUC = 0.81, 95% CI 0.71-0.91, P < 0.001). In addition, a BNP cutoff value of 100 pg/ml had a sensitivity of 90%, a specificity of 70%, and an overall accuracy of 79%.

Discussion
Asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction demonstrated humoral activation characterized by increases in the natriuretic peptides without activation of the circulating renin-angiotensin system (18). In the present study, 21 of the 31 asymptomatic patients who had an abnormal echo as well as diastolic dysfunction, with BNP levels of 910.60 pg/ml. While BNP levels cannot be used by itself to differentiate between systolic and diastolic dysfunction, a low BNP level in the setting of normal systolic function by echocardiography can likely rule out clinically significant diastolic dysfunction (11). Echocardiography is the gold standard in diagnosing LV dysfunction and helpful in identifying the presence and extent of dysfunction. However, limited availability, cost considerations, and subjective variations attribute of expertise performing the examination & evaluating the report has been a constraint for use of this technique. Further 2-D-ECHO is used more as diagnostic tool hence predictive value of this tool is very poor in cases of LV Dysfunction.

In our study we found that cut off of 100pg/ml had 90% sensitivity and 70% specificity. This correlates with one of the largest multinational prospective studies by Maisel et al in [18] reporting BNP cutoff value of 100 pg/ml had 90% sensitivity and 76% specificity for differentiating heart failure from other causes of dyspnea. In
the study conducted by Dao et al, a BNP cutoff value of 80 pg/ml had 98% sensitivity and 92% specificity. In the study conducted by McCullough et al, a BNP cutoff value of 50pg/ml had 94% sensitivity and 70% specificity. BNP values and LV EF were shown to have an inversely proportional relationship in this study. This relationship was stronger in the group of patients who had Diabetes with Myocardial Infarction. Of the 48 patients with LV Dysfunction, 44 patients had BNP level more than 100 pg/ml. In cases of patients with Diabetes Mellitus without history or evidence of Ischemic Heart Disease, (N=50) only one patient had LV dysfunction. Even this patient had BNP of more than 100pg/ml. The statistical significance of this correlation between BNP and LV EF in this study was p<0.005. In our study, the mean BNP values of patients with Normal ejection fraction i.e. >= 60% is 77.35 pg/ml. In patients with <60% EF the mean BNP level is 911.19 pg/ml. Three other investigations have attempted to establish the relationship between plasma BNP and Cardiac function in patients referred for echocardiography. [17, 18, 19]. In the first study by Yamamoto et al., in which the end point of Ejection fraction of 45% was found in 11% of the cohort the negative predictive value (“rule out” function) of BNP was high enough (96%) to make BNP a useful discriminator. In the second study by Maisel et al.[18], which used a broader end point of ejection fraction of 50% or Doppler evidence of diastolic dysfunction, the end point prevalence was 47% in the cohort and was associated with good sensitivity (86%) and excellent specificity (98%). The third study Lubien et al. attempted to detect diastolic dysfunction only, which was found in 40% of the patient cohort and BNP showed good specificity (98%) and positive predictive value (96%). In summary, studies of patient cohorts referred for echocardiography show that high BNP concentration is associated with abnormal Cardiac function. It is apparent that BNP has good negative predictive (“rule out”) value. Lubien and colleagues[19] measured plasma BNP levels in 294 patients referred for echocardiography and found that patients with abnormal LV diastolic function (N =119) had a mean plasma BNP concentration of 286±31 pg/ml while the normal LV group (N = 175) had a mean BNP concentration of 33±3 pg/ml. Plasma concentrations were particularly elevated in patients with restrictive filling patterns and in those with symptoms. In the study by Lubien et. al, a BNP value of 62 pg/ml gave a sensitivity of 85% and specificity of 83% for detecting isolated diastolic dysfunction.

In our study, the plasma BNP value of 100 pg/ml gave a sensitivity of 77.2 % and a specificity of 62.8 % for detecting diastolic dysfunction. In this study, therefore, of 60 patients with elevated BNP levels, there were 45 patients with Left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) and 44 with diastolic dysfunction. Only 5 patients showed no 2D-Echo evidence of cardiac dysfunction. In view of the correlation of elevated BNP levels with cardiac dysfunction, we attempted to further investigate those patients with high BNP and normal 2-D Echo study. This is outside the purview of this study. However, we were able to motivate 2 of the 5 patients for further investigations. One showed positive Treadmill test, while the other had a positive coronary Angiographic study. This finding has encouraged us to consider a further study of correlating BNP levels with findings on Treadmill test. While 2-D ECHO identifies existing dysfunction and damage, the predictive value of the Treadmill Test would make the considered study of immense preventive value. The relationship between the elevated BNP levels in patients with Diastolic dysfunction (DD) and the lower BNP levels in those without Diastolic dysfunction (DD) is noted in both the above studies. The comparatively higher levels of BNP in our study are probably due to the fact that we have not excluded patients with Left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD).

Conclusion
In this study, elevated BNP levels showed good correlation with Cardiac Dysfunction - either systolic as measured by Ejection Fraction or Diastolic dysfunction as seen on 2-D-Echo with Spectral Color Doppler. BNP level with cut-off of 100 gave us a sensitivity of 90% & specificity of 70% with p value of <0.001. 2 Out of 5 Patients with elevated BNP levels but normal 2D-ECHO also showed presence of underlying CAD on further investigations. Both patients thus diagnosed had Type II Diabetes Mellitus. This indicates that BNP is good investigation for detecting underlying coronary artery disease in high risk population such as Type II Diabetes Mellitus. Further studies with higher patient numbers and correlation of BNP levels with treadmill test would help in providing more accurate data.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mean BNP Level</td>
<td>72.18</td>
<td>799.02</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mean BNP Level in Male</td>
<td>74.51</td>
<td>824.14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mean BNP Levels in Female</td>
<td>69.85</td>
<td>727.54</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ventricular ejection fraction and diastolic</td>
<td>150.04</td>
<td>682.54</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mean BNP Level in patients with suppressed</td>
<td>482.54</td>
<td>910.25</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ventricular ejection fraction and diastolic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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