



## Research Article

# BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF LESION NEMATODES *Pratylenchus spp.* BY VARIOUS ANTAGONISTIC FUNGI

BAGHEL K.S.<sup>\*1</sup>, TIWARI S.P.<sup>2</sup>, CHAUHAN U.K.<sup>3</sup>, TIWARIA B.K.<sup>4</sup> AND PATEL A.K.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1,4,5</sup>ICAR-Krishi Vigyan Kendra, College of Agriculture, Rewa, Jawaharlal Nehru Agricultural University, Krishinagar, Adhartal, Jabalpur, 482004, Madhya Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, Jawaharlal Nehru Agricultural University, Krishinagar, Adhartal, Jabalpur, 482004, Madhya Pradesh, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Biology, Awadhesh Pratap Singh University, Ananthpur, Rewa, 486003 Madhya Pradesh, India

\*Corresponding Author: Email - [ksbaghel1974@gmail.com](mailto:ksbaghel1974@gmail.com)

Received: May 09, 2018; Revised: May 20, 2018; Accepted: May 21, 2018; Published: May 30, 2018

**Abstract:** The lesion nematodes *Pratylenchus spp.* is described as one to the major limiting factor in crop production especially in chickpea. The approach of nematode management with bio-control agents will provide an environmental sustainable and suitable for plant and animal health. Fifteen soil inhabiting fungi were isolated from the *Pratylenchus* prone soils of different localities and isolated fungi were identified and attempts were made to find out their antagonistic behavior against *Pratylenchus thornei*. Out of 15 fungi, *P. pinophilum*, *T. harzianum*, *T. viride*, *Crisosporium sp. (T)*, *Crisosporium sp. (R)*, *F. moniliforme*, *Cladosporium sp.* and *A. alternata* were exhibited positive correlation against the existing population of *P. thornei* and their antagonistic behaviour was tested against *P. thornei*. Reduction in population of *P. thornei* was 90 percent in the presence of *T. viride*. However, *T. harzianum*, *Crisosporium sp. (T)* and *(R)*, *Cladosporium sp.* were also drastically declined the nematode population. Nematode population was drastically declined in the presence of *T. viride* within 72 hours and further reached minimum after 168 hours, similar trend was shown by other test antagonistic fungi.

**Keywords:** Lesion nematode, *Pratylenchus spp.*, *P. pinophilum*, *T. harzianum*, *T. viride*, *Crisosporium sp. (T)*, *Crisosporium sp. (R)*, *F. moniliforme*, *Cladosporium sp.* and *A. alternata*

**Citation:** Baghel K.S., et al., (2018) Biological control of lesion nematodes *Pratylenchus spp.* by various antagonistic fungi. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 10, Issue 10, pp.- 6050-6052.

**Copyright:** Baghel K.S., et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the most important Rabi pulse crop not only in Madhya Pradesh and ranked third among the important pulse crops of the world. It is mainly confined to Indian sub-continent where India alone contributed more than 70% of the global chickpea production. The important chickpea growing state of the India are Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Panjab, Rajasthan and Maharashtra. Chickpea occupied in an area of 8.00 million hectare with the total production of 6.00 million tonnes. Madhya Pradesh alone contribute 2.68 million hectares of the total area and production of 2.48 million tonnes [1]. In India (9,10) reported the pathogenic effect of *Pratylenchus thornei* on. In recent years, there has been a surging interest in Phytoparasitic nematodes affecting crop plants leading to considerable losses. Soil samples collected during 1979 around the rhizoplane and rhizosphere of crops in Madhya Pradesh predominantly revealed the occurrence of *Pratylenchus* species [2].

Sharma, (1985) catalogued 22 species of plant parasitic nematodes associated with chickpea in different parts of the monopathogenic situation seldom if ever exists in nature, the root system of crop plants remains exposed to a multitude of taxonomically diverse organisms in causation of damage to the host crop in fields [3]. Since, several species of *Pratylenchus* ubiquitously distributed around chickpea plants, it is expedient to quality and their role is causation of the diseases in field so as to develop an understanding towards appropriate viable and feasible control measures needed for reducing the crop losses due to this obnoxious organism. During the last decade it has been observed that the yield of chickpea seems to be declined and beside fungi lesion nematodes *Pratylenchus thornei* may be one of the major constraints in the production of chickpea.

## Materials and Method

### Extraction of Lesion Nematode Population

The collected soil and root samples were brought to the laboratory. Two hundred cubic centimetre (cm<sup>3</sup>) thoroughly mixed samples were soaked in water for 3 hours before extraction. Cobbs sieving and decanting method and modified Baermann Funnel Technique Christie and Perry, (1951) was followed for the extraction of nematode population [4]. Nematode suspension containing freshly washed and sieved, on 325 mesh sieves was, poured with the help of a jet of water. Assembly was later kept on a glass bowl holding approximately 50 ml of aqua guard distilled water. Extraction assembly was so placed, that the upper layer of water in glass bowls touches the stretched base of extraction dish to ensure a thin film leaving no air bubble. The extraction was carried out at room temperature (20-32°C) and different stages of the nematodes were collected after 24 and 48 hours of washing. The nematode extraction was examined under stereo-binocular (80 x) and nematode population was identified as *Pratylenchus thornei* on the basis of characters of the *Pratylenchus thornei* (Filipjev 1936) Sher and Allen 1953.

### Isolation of Soil Fungi

#### Serial dilution procedure

Goss, (1972) described the dilution plate method for isolation of soil micro organisms [5]. One gram sieved soil on dry weight basis was dispensed aseptically in 10 ml sterile water in a culture tube and shaken for 20 min. on a mechanical wrist action shaker. The suspension was serially diluted aseptically till the dilution of 1:1000 was obtained.

One ml as the desired end dilution from each replicate was transferred aseptically to each of the three sterile petri-dishes. Pre-cooled, Martin's Rose Bengal medium (15 ml) was poured into each petri-dish. The contents immediately mixed by rotating the dishes in a circular and side to side motion before the medium got solidified. The plates were incubated at  $25\pm 10^{\circ}\text{C}$  for seven days. Identification of the colonies which were developed in petri-dishes was made with the aid of research microscope, after isolation of individual fungus in pure culture on PDA, by observing the typical characteristics described in the text [6-8].

### Isolation of Fungus from infected plants

The fungus which were associated with lesion nematodes within roots of the infected plants were isolated by time segment method and purified by hyphal tip method and maintained on potato dextrose agar slants [9].

### Preparation of Antagonistic lot

Fungi isolated from eight different blocks of Rewa district were selected for antagonistic study on *Pratylenchus thornei*. Fungi were cultured in Potato Dextrose Broth (P.D.B.) for three weeks incubated at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The fungal mycelial mat was removed by decanting and taking out the filtrate and mycelial mat separately.

### Culturing of nematode population on Chickpea Plants'

The nematode population was extracted from chickpea infested roots. The roots were free from soil and washed gently in running tap water. The roots were later chopped into small, segments of 1 to 2 cm length and placed on extraction dish for recovery of the nematode population. The extracted nematode population were collected and utilized for investigation whenever required. The extraction dish was covered by enamel trays to minimize the evaporation.

### Test of Pathogenicity of lesion nematode on Chickpea

To study the pathogenicity of lesion nematode on chickpea the experiment was conducted in 10 cm diameter earthen pots having steam sterilized soil and analysed in glass house. Chickpea surface disinfected seeds were sown in each pot. Freshly emerged lesion nematode inoculated in logarithmic series of 10, 100, 1000 and 10000 per plant, each treatment was replicated four times. The pots were examined 40 days after inoculation and observations on plant growth character were recorded [10-12].

### Test of Pathogenicity of antagonistic fungi

To study the Pathogenicity of lesion nematode on chickpea, an experiment was conducted to determine soil environment for antagonistic fungi. Nature of association was determined by isolation of 15 fungi collected from different loci representing different agro-climatic situations. Isolated fungi were grown on growth substrate incorporated in the media. Isolated fungi were purified to the desired level. The identified fungi were catalogued as per the identification procedure. Inoculation of fungi was done on surface disinfected chickpea seeds raised in earthen pots filled with sterilized soil, containing 500/200  $\text{cm}^3$  freshly emerged *Pratylenchus thornei* population. The observations on different growth parameters of chickpea were recorded to ascertain pathogenic effect of the inoculated fungi.

### Results

Effect of fungal antagonistic on the population of *Pratylenchus thornei* in soil *In vitro* and *in vivo* study was carried out to determine the effect of fungi on *P. thornei*. Replicated lab and pot experiment was undertaken during the course of investigation. Data summarised in [Table-1] revealed that *T. viride* reduced the nematode population in both lab and pot culture conditions to the tune of 1.79 and 2.93 respectively over check (control). *Cladosporium* sp., *Crisosporium* sp.(T), *Crisosporium* sp (R), *T. harzianum* and *A. alternata* was in next in reducing

### Conclusion

As compare to control the significant effect of other antagonistics fungi was observed in reducing the nematode population. All the *Aspergillus* sp. were moderate in reducing nematode population. The uniform population trends of

nematode were recorded both in lab. and pot condition which indicated that *P. thornei* behaviour was uniform in both the conditions. The reduction of nematode population ranged from 32.19 to 89.65 percent and 32.39 to 82.89 percent under lab and pot condition respectively.

Table-1 Effect of fungal antagonists on the population of *Pratylenchus thornei* in soil\*

Treatment	<i>In vitro</i>	<i>In vivo</i>
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> (Sirmour)	128.75 (11.33)	127.50 (11.31)
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> (Teothar)	118.75 (10.90)	130.00 (11.41)
<i>Penicillium pinophilum</i>	43.75 (6.59)	43.75 (6.61)
<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (Mangawan)	18.75 (3.96)	13.75 (3.64)
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> (Rewa)	118.75 (10.90)	115.00 (10.74)
<i>Crisosporium</i> sp. (Teothar)	12.50 (2.87)	18.75 (4.38)
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> (Mangawan)	137.50 (11.73)	118.75 (10.88)
<i>Fusarium oxysporium</i> (Gangeo)	50.00 (6.98)	57.5 (7.55)
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i> (Rewa)	37.50 (6.07)	40.00 (6.42)
<i>Crisosporium</i> sp.(Rewa)	12.50 (2.87)	10.00 (3.19)
<i>Trichoderma viride</i> (Rewa)	6.25 (1.79)	8.25 (2.93)
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> (Jawa)	118.75 (10.90)	133.75 (11.58)
<i>Cladosporium</i> sp. Sirmour	12.50 (2.87)	17.50 (4.18)
<i>Alternaria alternata</i> sp. (Rewa)	31.25 (5.56)	47.50 (6.84)
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i> (Teothar)	43.75 (6.59)	48.75 (6.91)
Control	302.50 (17.30)	293.75 (17.13)
SEm±	0.81	0.41
CD 5%	2.31	1.18

\*Mean of four replication

Figure in parenthesis indicate logarithmic transformation value the nematode population to the extent of 2.87, 2.87, 2.87, 3.96, 5.56 in lab condition and 4.18, 4.18, 3.19, 4.38, 3.64 and 6.84 in pot respectively, and showed non-significant difference with *T. viride* but significant difference over control.

**Application of research:** The growth of chickpea was improved in the presence of all the antagonistic fungi, but application of *Trichoderma viride* increased the yield to the extent of two times as compared to control. Soil amended with antagonistic fungi drastically changed the population dynamics of *P. thornei* at different growth stages of the crop except *Alternaria alternata* and *Fusarium moniliforme*. The *T. viride* and *T. harzianum* found to be more antagonistic against *Pratylenchus thornei* as compared to *P. pinophilum*, *Crisosporium* (T) and (R) and *Cladosporium* spp. Nematode specific survey need to be undertakes to determine the trends of population dynamics and frequency of their distribution in other crops. More samples may be collected form soil exhibiting foliar symptoms beside the root rot and wilt. Botanical product, organic farming and resistant lines should be considered for the management of the nematodes.

**Research Category:** Plant Pathology

**Abbreviations:** T-Teothar, R-Rewa, S- Sirmour, P- Pratylenchus

**\*Research Guide or Chairperson of research: Professor Dr S.P. Tiwari**

University: Jawaharlal Nehru Agricultural University, Krishnagar, Adhartal, Jabalpur, 482004, Madhya Pradesh, India

Research project name or number: PhD Thesis

**Author Contributions: All author equally contributed.**

**Author statement:** All authors read, reviewed, agree and approved the final manuscript

**Conflict of Interest: None declared**

**Ethical approval:** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

## References

- [1] Agricultural Statistics (1998)
- [2] Anon (1981) *Biennial Report*. JNKVV, Jabalpur.
- [3] Sharma S.B. (1985) *Progress Report No. 42, International Crop Research Institute for Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT)*, 36.
- [4] Christie J.R. and Perry V.G. (1951) *Proceeding Helminthological Society Washington*, 18, 106-108.
- [5] Goss R.C. (1972) *Experimental Microbiology Guide*. Kalyani Publishers Lundhiana, 73-81.
- [6] Barnett H.L. and Hunter B.B. (1987) *Mc. Millan Publication Corporation New York*, 218.
- [7] Gilman J.C. (1957) *A manual of Soil Fungi*. Oxford and IBH Pub. Co. New Delhi, 450.
- [8] Webster J. (1989) *Introduction to fungi*. Cambridge University Press. 379.
- [9] Rangaswami G. (1972) *Disease of crop plants in India*. Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd. New Delhi, 526.
- [10] Greco N., Di Vito M., Reddy M.V. and Saxena M.C. (1984) *Nematologia Mediterranea*, 12, 87-93.
- [11] Tiwari S.P., Vadhera I., Shukla B.N. and Bhatt J. (1992) *Indian Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology*, 22(3), 255-259.
- [12] Walia R.K. and Seshadri A.R. (1985) *International Chickpea News Letter*, 12, 31.