



Research Article

EFFICACY OF SILKWORM PUPAE AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FEED FOR FINGERLINGS OF INDIAN MAJOR CARP ROHU, *Labeo rohita* HAM

SASMAL S.*, SHARMA R.L., SAHU M.K., SINGH REKHA AND TOPPO S.

ICAR-Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, 492012, Chhattisgarh, India

*Corresponding Author: Email-drsasmal@gmail.com

Received: March 10, 2018; Revised: March 11, 2018; Accepted: March 13, 2018; Published: March 15, 2018

Abstract- Experiment was conducted to evaluate the impact of silkworm pupae in the practical diets for *Labeo rohita* fingerlings growth and survival. Four experimental diets using silkworm pupae with 25%, 30%, 35%, and 40% protein levels incorporate with rice bran, mustard oil cake-based control diet (25% protein) were formulated. Fish seed was feed @ 4% of the body weight of the fish. In term of specific growth rate (SGR), feed conversion (FCR), protein efficiency ratio (PER) and protein and lipid deposition in the muscle, silkworm pupae incorporated (43.75%) diet having 40% protein level showed the best performance of the fish in comparison to those with the control diet. The results indicate that silkworm pupae could be the possible low-cost animal protein rich alternative source and may be successfully used as supplementary feed for rohu fingerlings

Keywords- Silkworm pupae, Supplementary feed, Fingerling, Rohu

Citation: Sasmal S., et al., (2018) Efficacy of Silkworm Pupae as a Supplementary Feed for Fingerlings of Indian Major Carp Rohu, *Labeo rohita* Ham. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 10, Issue 5, pp.-5413-5414.

Copyright: Copyright©2018 Sasmal S., et al., This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

In aquaculture, the feeds are the most expensive inputs and account for 57-87% of total recurring expenditure. A wide variety of locally available ingredients are used as feed for exotic carps. Due to the rapid expansion of sericulture in several parts of India, particularly in the southern states there is ample scope for the utilization of silkworm pupae, a major byproduct of this industry, as a feed ingredient. Studies on the usefulness of silkworm pupae as feed for Indian Major Carp fingerlings have shown that it is more suitable than mustard oil cake and rice bran as supplementary feed. In an earlier study conducted by Nandeesh, et al. [1], it was shown that feeding common carp with diets containing upto 30% silkworm pupae resulted in progressive increase in growth with increasing level of pupae as compared to a fishmeal based 30% protein diet and the highest weight was recorded at 30% of pupae incorporation. The most economic source of protein is from natural food stuffs plant and animal origins particularly animal wastes and non-conventional feed sources. Animal proteins in general are superior over the plant protein because these are rich in all essential amino acids and are more digestible. Addition of animal protein improves the nutritional status and biological value of formulated diets. For economic and practical reasons these diets must be prepared from locally available protein sources which are preferably unsuitable for human consumption. There exists a high demand for formulation of low cost animal protein rich feed for growing stages of fishes. An attempt was made in the present study to formulate complete feed for fingerlings of *Labeo rohita*, replacing conventional feed ingredients with animal protein silkworm pupae and fortifying it with micronutrients and also to see how far it is cost effective and useful to the farmers. Silkworm pupae and mustard oil cake was preferred as animal and plant protein ingredient respectively. Therefore, this investigation was carried out using silkworm pupae as animal protein sources and to find out the relationship between different dietary protein levels and fish growth.

Materials and Methods

The acclimatized fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* (average length 5.8 cm. and weight 1.86 g) were stocked in aquaria (60 x 30 x 30) randomly. Care was taken to select healthy fishes of almost uniform size. The fingerlings were stocked at the rate of 10 fingerlings/ aquarium. Feed formulation was done by 'Pearson square' method using determined values of protein and energy content of the ingredients. Various ingredient combinations used in different composition (g100g⁻¹ of dry matter basis) for control and experimental diets are given in [Table-1]. The experimental feed-T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ were prepared by finely powdered, mustard oil cake, rice bran, silkworm pupae, multivitamin tablets and soybean oil with Carboxy methyl cellulose as a binder, while feed T₁ was prepared by mixing all the above except silkworm pupae.

Table-1 Formulation of experimental diets:

Ingredients (g100g ⁻¹)	Diets				
	T ₁ (Control)	T ₂ (25%)	T ₃ (30%)	T ₄ (35%)	T ₅ (40%)
Rice bran	50	59.37	43.75	28.12	12.50
Mustard oil cake	50	20.31	28.12	35.95	43.75
Silkworm pupae	-	20.31	28.12	35.95	43.75
Vitamin-Mineral mixture	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Starch	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0

The weighed rice bran, mustard oil cake, silkworm pupae and soybean oil, were thoroughly mixed and then multivitamin tablets added to each diet. For pelletization, 6% water was added to the mixture for control and experimental diets and then it was continuously agitated and mixed thoroughly by hands. Mixture was pressure cooked for about 25 minutes for the purpose of sterilization. Mixture was then cooled and pellets were prepared by a hand pelletizer. The pellets (2 mm.

diameter and 2.5 cm. length) were then spread on a paper at room temperature under the fan for complete drying. The fingerlings of rohu were provided with experimental feeds-T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅ in aquarium no.5-20, while feed T₁ (control) in aquarium no.1-4 @ 4% of the body weight of the fishes /day, divided into two equal parts and offered to the fish twice daily at 8.00-8.30 a.m. and 4.00-4.30 p.m., except on days when weekly measurements were taken. Feeding rate was adjusted on weekly basis based on the weight of fish recorded. Each dietary treatment was carried out in four replications. Standard methods were followed for analysis of physico-chemical parameters of water.

Results and Discussions

The experiment was conducted in 20 aquaria. The stocking density was 10 fingerlings / 60 litre water. During the experimental period silkworm pupae was used as major protein supplement. All the experimental diets except control diet were prepared by finely powdered mustard oil cake, rice bran, silkworm pupae and vitamin mineral premix using starch as a binder. Control diet was prepared by all above ingredients used to prepare experimental feed except silkworm pupae. Feed was given @ 4% body weight of the fishes' day⁻¹, divided into two equal installments. Five treatments were set up to carry out the experiment with four replications. Control diet (T₁) was with 25% protein, while test diet T₂ was with 25%, T₃ with 30%, T₄ having 35% and T₅ diet with 40% protein level. Weekly observations on growth and physico-chemical parameters were taken. Proximate analysis of feeds and fish tissue was done following the standard method from AOAC. PER, FCR, FCE, ADG, SGR and total weight gain in fish was calculated for control as well as test diets and statistical analysis for all these parameters was done by C.R.D. test. This test of significance was applied to achieve major results. Fish food is important ingredient in aquaculture diets, due to its high protein quality and palatability, however, among all diet ingredients fish meal is most expensive. Significance of silkworm pupae as a non-conventional low cost source of animal protein ingredient was assessed in present work. In the present investigation, silkworm pupae appeared to be a suitable animal protein supplement as evident from specific growth rate (SGR), which was found to be 1.95, 2.45, 2.73 and 3.45% day⁻¹ in the test diets T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ as compared to 1.82% day⁻¹ in the control diet (T₁). Similarly, food conversion ratio (FCR) was 2.64, 2.42, 1.92, 1.89 and 1.81 respectively in control diet and diets having silkworm pupae respectively. Little work has been done pertaining to the inclusion of silkworm pupae in fish feed for optimum growth of fishes. Earlier workers, Rangacharyulu, *et al.* [2] found that optimum inclusion of fermented silkworm pupae silage 30.2-30.9% protein levels gave good result in Carps fishes. Nandeesh, *et al.* [1] found that inclusion of non-defatted silkworm pupae upto 50% gives good result in terms of growth in common carp. Singh and Gaur [3] found optimum inclusion up to 30% of slaughterhouse waste is optimum for fish feed. Nandeesh, *et al.* [4] studied the inclusion of sericulture waste and found better growth and conversion with the diet containing de oiled silkworm pupae, as compared to conventional feed mixture of rice bran and the mustared oil cake (1:3). Das [5] found that inclusion of chicken viscera upto 35% gave good result in rohu fingerlings. In the present investigation 43.75% de-oiled silkworm pupae gave best result. This combination gave best specific growth rate (3.45% day⁻¹) and protein efficiency ratio (2.77%) among all the experimental diets. Diet with 35.95% inclusion of silkworm pupae resulted in moderate growth and SGR being 2.73 % day⁻¹. PER was found to be low being 2.40 for 35.95% silkworm pupae. The diet with 28.12% silkworm pupae gave, SGR of 2.43% day⁻¹ giving the third best performance, but its PER was lowest among all diets being only 2.04. In the diet having 25% protein level only 20.31% silkworm pupae were included which gave third best PER (1.60) among all the diet group but SGR was 1.95% day⁻¹ which showed the lowest level among all the diets containing silkworm pupae.

Conclusion

The results indicate that optimum level of silkworm pupae inclusion in carp diet of *Labeo rohita* was 43.75% as far as their growth, feed utilization and survival is concerned. The total cost of feed for T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ was Rs. 61.56, 67.95, 80.03, 85.25 and 90.49 respectively. The net increase in fish body weight for T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ was 2.36, 2.65, 3.45, 3.63 and 3.82 g fish⁻¹ respectively.

However, the cost of production per kg fish for T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ was Rs. 26.08, 25.64, 22.60, 23.48 and 18.56 respectively. The cost of production was lowest in T₅ (40% with silkworm pupae) and highest in T₁ (25% without silkworm pupae, *i.e.*, control).

Application of research: In aquaculture, supplementary feed costs more than 60% in input costs, therefore, silkworm pupae may be utilized as one of the cheapest protein source of supplementary feed for increasing production of fish.

Research Category: Supplementary feed

Abbreviations:

SGR: specific growth rate

FCR: food conversion ratio

PER: protein efficiency ratio

Acknowledgement / Funding: Author thankful to Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, 492012, Chhattisgarh. Author thankful to Dr R. L. Sharma, Senior Scientist and Head, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Raipur for providing financial support and other necessary facilities at Krishi Vigyan Kendra Fish Farm. Authors are also sincere thanks to Dr Pabita Barik, College of Fisheries, Kawardha for proper guidance and valuable suggestions.

***Research Guide or Chairperson of research:** Dr R.L. Sharma

University: Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, 492012, Chhattisgarh

Research project name or number: Nil

Author Contributions: All author equally contributed

Author statement: All authors read, reviewed, agree and approved the final manuscript

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors

References

- [1] Nandeesh M.C., Gangadhara B., Varghese T.J. and Keshavanath P. (2002) *Asian Fisheries Science*, 13, 235-242.
- [2] Rangacharyulu P.V., Giri S.S. and Paul B.N. (2003) *Bioresource Technology*, 86, 29-32.
- [3] Singh P.K. and Gaur S.R. (2005) *Environment & Ecology*, 23S(Spl-3), 492-496.
- [4] Nandeesh M.C., Basavaraja N., Keshavanath P., Varghese T.J., Sudhakara N.S., Srikanth G.K. and Roy A.K. (1989) *Indian J. of Animal Science*, 59, 1198-1205.
- [5] Das S. (2006) Inclusion of chicken viscera in formulated diets for the fingerlings of rohu, *Labeo rohita* (Ham.), IGKV, Raipur, Thesis, 159.