



## EFFECT OF ORGANIC AND MINERAL FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF COCOYAM (*COLOCASIA ESCULENTA* (L.) SCHOTT)

UWAH D.F.\*<sup>1</sup>, UDOH A.U.<sup>2</sup>, IWO G.A.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Crop Science, University of Calabar, P.M.B, 1115, Calabar, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Nigeria,

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [dfu55@yahoo.com](mailto:dfu55@yahoo.com)

Received: August 10, 2010; Accepted: October 15, 2010

**Abstract-** The response of cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott) to various rates of poultry manure (PM) and potassium(K) fertilizer was studied under field conditions in 2006 and 2007 growing seasons at Uyo, a humid forest agroecology of south eastern Nigeria. Treatment combinations comprising of four rates each of PM (0, 5, 10 and 15t/ha) and K (0, 40, 80 and 120 kg K/ha) were factorized and fitted into a randomized complete block design with three replicates. Application of PM and K at the highest rates produced significantly taller plants with higher leaf area index, shoot dry matter, corms and cormels number, compared with other treatments in both years. Weight of corms and cormels and total yield (t/ha) were however, optimized at 10t/ha and 80 kg K/ha rate. Averaged over the two years, increases in PM and K rates from zero to 15t/ha and 120 kg K/ha, increased the shoot dry matter by 51% and 29%, and the number of corms and cormels by 40% and 34%, respectively. Weight of corms and cormels and total yield obtained in the two years at 10t/ha and 15t/ha PM, and 80 kg K/ha and 120 kg K/ha were statistically similar except in 2007 where the corms and cormels weight peaked at 15t/ha PM rate. Poultry manure at 15t/ha in combination with either 80 kg K/ha or 120 kg K/ha out-yielded other treatments in terms of corms and cormels weight and total yield in the two years of trial.

**Keywords-** Cocoyam, cormels, corms, potassium fertilizer, poultry manure, rates, shoot dry matter, yield.

### Introduction

Cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott.) a member of the *Araceae* family is a subsistence and emergency food source in many parts of the world, but a major staple food crop in Nigeria, South Pacific islands and some parts of Asia [1]. The corms and cormels are eaten in the same way as yam (*Dioscorea spp*) and sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*), boiled, fried, baked and roasted. In West Africa, the boiled cocoyam is sometimes pounded to produce a paste similar to pounded yam and eaten in the same manner. The cormels are grated and used alone or mixed with grated water yam (*Dioscorea alata*) to prepare a rich popular Nigeria diet called *ekpang nkukwo*. Cocoyams are the cheapest and most handy carbohydrate source of meals for diabetics, convalescents and most gastrointestinal disorder patients and a good carbohydrate base for infant foods on account of their small-sized starch grains which are easily digested compared to those of yam, cassava (*Manihot spp*) or sweet potato [1, 2]. The young leaves and petioles which contain more protein than the corms in addition to vitamin A and C and minerals are used as human food, while the corms, cormels and leaves after curing can also be used as animal feed [3]. In the rain forest agroecology of south eastern Nigeria, intensive cropping has become more common and the primary function of soil productivity and fertility

restoration is becoming less effective [4]. Bush fallow which had been an efficient, balanced and sustainable system for soil productivity and fertility restoration in the past is presently unsustainable due to high population pressure and other human activities which have resulted in reduced fallow period [5]. A major constraint to intensive production of cocoyam in this agroecology is the low level of inherent fertility of the acid sandy soils. Due to the porous nature of the soils, their low organic matter, pH and clay contents; native soil N, K and Ca are equally very low. The implication is that with the usually high rainfall experienced in this zone, the application of inorganic fertilizers is accompanied by a high rate of leaching losses [6]. To ameliorate these problems, complementary use of organic manures and mineral fertilizers which has proved to be a sound soil fertility management strategy in many countries of the world is advocated [7]. Apart from enhancing crop yield, the practice has a greater beneficial residual effect than can be derived from the use of either inorganic fertilizer or organic manure when applied alone [8, 6, 9, 10]. Nutrient use efficiency has also been reported to increase through the combination of poultry manure and mineral fertilizer [11, 12]. Cocoyam being a root crop has a high requirement for potassium as yam and cassava [13, 14, 3, 1]. The K content of poultry

manure is usually very high depending on the animal type, feed ration, storage and handling practice which makes it very suitable for root crops. Surveys in Nigeria and elsewhere revealed inconsistencies in the amount of K for optimum performance of cocoyam due mainly to differences in soil types and soil K status [15, 16, 17]. Information on the effect of poultry manure on this crop under field conditions in Nigeria is scanty. The objective of this study therefore was to determine the effect of poultry manure (PM) and potassium (K) fertilizer on agronomic performance of cocoyam in south eastern Nigerian environment.

### Materials and methods

Experiments were conducted for two years during the rainy seasons of 2006 and 2007 at the National Cereal Research Institute out-station at Owot Uta, Uyo, south eastern rainforest zone of Nigeria (Lat 4° 30' and 5° 30' N, Long. 7° 5' and 8° 20' E; 100m altitude). Uyo has a mean annual rainfall of about 2500mm during the rainy season which is from March to November. Mean relative humidity of 78%, mean annual minimum and maximum temperatures of 22.5° °C and 30.7° °C. The soil is acidic and belongs to broad soil classification group *Alfisol*, with well drained coastal plain sands of Benin formation, low in organic matter, nitrogen, potassium and other nutrients [18]. The physico-chemical analyses of the soil at experimental sites are summarized in Table 1. The experiments evaluated the effect of four rates of poultry manure (PM) (0, 5, 10 and 15t/ha), and four rates of potassium fertilizer (K) (0, 40, 80 and 120kg K/ha) on the performance of cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott). The treatment combinations were factorized and fitted into a randomized complete block design with three replications. A fresh site which had been on fallow for three years was used each year. Poultry manure was obtained from the broiler pens of the Teaching and Research Farm of the University of Uyo, with the birds fed with a finisher diet. The bedding materials consisted mainly of saw dust. The potassium fertilizer source was muriate of potash (50%K) Composite samples of the poultry manures were air dried, crushed, sieved and analyzed for chemical properties [19]. A relevant chemical analysis of the manure is summarized in Table 2. Soil samples were collected from the sites at depths of 0-30cm prior to manure and fertilizer applications and analyzed for physico-chemical properties using procedures described by [19] (Table 1). The sites were cleared of vegetation with machetes, ploughed and ridged using a tractor and marked out into blocks of 16 plots each. Gross plot size was 7m x 4m and the net plot from which growth and yield parameters were measured, was 3m x 2m. Plots were separated by a path of 1m while blocks were kept at a distance of 1.5m between them. The planting material consisting of

cocoyam cormels of mean weight 72g obtained from Akwa Ibom State Agricultural Development Programme (AKADEP) Uyo, were planted one per stand at 15cm depth on the crest of the ridges at a spacing of 1m x 1m, in early March in both years. Supplying was done a month after planting to maintain a uniform rate of one plant per stand. The poultry manure was incorporated into the soil of the replicated plots in a single dose as per the various treatments and allowed to decompose for two weeks before planting. Potassium fertilizer was side-dressed about 8cm deep and 15-20cm away from the plants and covered with soil in a single dose four weeks after planting (WAP). Weeding was done manually using native hoe at 4, 8 and 12 weeks after planting. Harvesting was done in November of both years, 8 months after planting by carefully digging out corms and cormels with native hoe when the leaves had dried up. From the six plants in the net plot areas, the corms and cormels were separated before the yield and yield components were determined. Parameters measured were plant height, leaf area index, shoot dry matter, number of corms and cormels per plant, weight of corms and cormels per plant and corms and cormels yields (t/ha). Leaf area index was determined using the method of [20]. Data were subjected to analysis of variance [21]. Treatment means were compared using Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD), [22].

### Results

The chemical characteristics of the experimental soils indicated low nitrogen (N) and potassium (K) but high phosphorus (P) status (Table 1). Organic carbon content and pH were lower in 2006 than 2007 cropping season. The chemical composition of poultry manures (PM) used, differed substantially (Table 2). The PM in 2007 was higher in N, P, K and Calcium (Ca) contents by 20%, 34%, 0.7% and 105% respectively, than in 2006.

Table 3 shows the effects of PM and K fertilizer on growth parameters of cocoyam. The highest rates of PM and K fertilizer produced the tallest plants in both years. The leaf area index was significantly increased ( $P < 0.05$ ) by increasing application rates of PM and K in the two years. The interactions between PM and K was significant for plant height and leaf area index. The combination of 15t/ha PM and 120 kg K/ha produced taller plants in 2006 while in 2007, same result was obtained but with the combinations of either 10t/ha or 15t/ha PM and 120 kg K/ha. The leaf are index peaked with the combinations of either 10t/ha or 15t/ha PM and 120 kg K/ha in 2006, while the highest rates of PM and K in combination maximized leaf area index in 2007. Shoot dry matter and number of corms and cormels per plant increased with each incremental application of PM and K up to the highest rates in both years (Table 4). Averaged over the two years,

increases in PM rates from 0 to 15t/ha, increased the shoot dry matter by 51% and the number of corms and cormels by 40 percent. Similar trend was observed with K on these parameters although the values obtained were lower. The shoot dry matter increase obtained on average over the two years was 29%, while that of number of corms and cormels was 34% compared with the control. PM x K interaction was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) on shoot dry matter and number of corms and cormels. Shoot dry matter and number of corms and cormels across the years were maximized with the combination of PM at 15t/ha and K at 120 kg /ha (Table 4). Corms and cormels weight significantly increased as application of PM was increased to 10t/ha but not further in 2006 and up to 15t/ha in 2007 (Table 5). Each incremental rate of K increased the corms and cormels weight only up to the 80 kg/ha rate in both years. Corms and cormels yields (t/ha) increased consistently with increasing rates of PM from 0 to 15t/ha rate in the two years. The difference in yield increase between 10t/ha and 15t/ha was however not statistically significant. Averaged over the two years, increases in PM rates from 0 to 5t/ha, resulted in 31% increase in corms and cormels yield; a further increase to 10t/ha, further increased yield substantially by 67%, while a further increase to 15t/ha produced a yield increase of only 27 percent. Similar results were observed with K application in which the 120 kg/ha rate gave the best value for corms and cormels yield, although this was not significantly different from the yield obtained at 80 kg K/ha rate. The application of 40, 80 and 120 kg K/ha gave an aggregate total corms and cormels yield of 26%, 83% and 102% respectively, over zero application. The PM x K interaction was significant for both corms and cormels weight and yield in the two years of trial. In both years, PM at 15t/ha in combination with either 80 kg K/ha or 120 kg K/ha maximized corms and cormels weight and yield.

### Discussion

The growth and yield performance of the crop appeared better in the 2007 trial than 2006 probably due to the differential nutrient status of each site. The chemical composition of the sites indicated that the 2007 site had a more favourable pH, higher organic carbon, N and K contents than that of 2006 which could have accounted for the superior crop performance in 2007 (Table 1). The mineral compositions of the PM used in both years differed which may further explain the differential response of the crop to the applied manure. The N, P, K, and Ca contents of PM used in 2007 was higher than that of the 2006 cropping season (Table 2). The overall results indicated that tallest plants and maximum leaf area index occurred at the highest rates of PM and K. Superior trend in growth and leaf area index has been reported by other workers with

high rates of organic and inorganic fertilizer application [23, 24]. Average maximum shoot dry matter production in 2006 and 2007 were 55.7g/plant and 58.0g/plant respectively, obtained with PM at 15t/ha rate, while the figures for K for the same periods were 52.3g/plant and 54.6g/plant at 120 kg K/ha. [25] obtained similar results on shoot dry matter in cocoyam with high rates of organic manures. Corms and cormels numbers and total yield (t/ha) were optimized at the highest rates of both manures though the values recorded for these parameters at 10t/ha and 15t/ha PM or 80 kg K/ha and 120 kg K/ha were statistically similar in the two years. Decreasing trends were observed with values obtained for these parameters with increasing rates of the manures. This suggests therefore that for cocoyam production in this agroecology, increasing PM and K rates above 10t/ha and 80 kg K/ha respectively, might not produce significant yield increases. This result confirms to that of earlier trial by [16] which indicated that cocoyam requires high K levels with the best results obtained at between 50 and 80 kg K/ha rates depending on the soil types. Abundant K supply has been reported to favour primary process of photosynthesis and ATP production as well as promote carbon dioxide assimilation and the synthesis and translocation of carbohydrate from the leaves to the tubers of potatoes, yam or cassava [15, 26] This may explain the high corms and cormels yield obtained in crops well supplied with K. The results also depicted better crop performance in all parameters measured with PM than K fertilizer. This could be attributed to the slow release of nutrients from decomposing organic manure with reduced leaching losses of nutrients throughout the growth period and the fact that PM has a favourable influence on soil pH [27]. The interaction between PM and K indicated that the combination of PM at 15t/ha with either 80 kg K/ha or 120 kg K/ha maximized corms and cormels weight and yield in the two years of trial. The observed increases in yield attributes due to PM x K interaction are attributable to the complementary action between the two manures. [28] asserted that when organic manure is applied together with mineral fertilizers, the latter aids the decomposition of the former. [29, 7, 12] also observed that the use of PM increased the efficiency of inorganic fertilizer probably by serving as a liming material and providing secondary and micronutrients not present in the inorganic fertilizers. This may therefore explain the significant response of these yield attributes when both PM and K were applied together than separately. The superior corms and cormels yield obtained at 15t/ha PM with either 80 kg K/ha and 120 kg K/ha, confirms reports by [8, 30] that high and sustained crop yield can be obtained with judicious and balanced inorganic fertilization combined with organic manures.

### Conclusion

The study revealed that both poultry manure and K promote growth and yield of cocoyam. From the findings therefore, adoption of the combination of 15t/ha PM and 80 kg K/ha could optimize yield in this agroecology for cocoyam production.

### References

- [1] IFA (1992) *World fertilizer Use Manual. Publication of International Fertilizer Industry Association, Paris* pp 148-160.
- [2] Som, D. (2007) *Handbook of Horticulture, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi*, pp 507-508.
- [3] Onwueme I. C. and Sinha T. O. (1991) *Field crop production in Tropical Africa, CTA Ede, The Netherlands*, pp 276-288.
- [4] Okigbo B. N. (1982) *In: Proceeding of the International Workshop on shifting cultivation, FAO, Rome*, pp18-36.
- [5] Steiner K. G. (1991) *In: Mokwunye A. U. (ed.) Alleviating soil fertility constraints to increased crop production in West Africa* pp69-91.
- [6] Agboola A. A. (1998) *Soil fertility in Ajibode, Ibadan, Department of Agronomy, Uni. of Ibadan Nigeria, Memo.*, 31pp.
- [7] Lombin L.G., Adepetu J. A. and Ayotade k. A. (1994) *In: Organic fertilizer in the Nigerian Agriculture: Present and Future. FPDD Abuja, Nigeria*, pp146-162.
- [8] Kang B. T. and Balasubramanian V. (1990) *In: Transactions of XIV International Soil Science Society (ISSS) congress, Kyoto, Japan*, 350pp.
- [9] Aliyu L. (2000) *Biological Agric. & Hort.* 18:29-36.
- [10] Eneji A. E., Honna T., Yamamoto S. and Masuda T. (2003) *J. of Plant Nutr.* 26 (8): 1595-1604.
- [11] Murwira H. K. and Kirchmann H. (1993) *Soil organic matter dynamics and sustainability of tropical agriculture* pp189-198.
- [12] Ayoola O. T. and Adeniyani O. N. (2000) *African J. Biotech.*, 5:1386-1392.
- [13] Obigbesan G. O.; Agboola A. A. and Fayemi A. A. (1976) *Proc. 4th symp. Inter. Soc. Tropical Root Crops*, pp104-107.
- [14] Kay D. E. (1987) *Tropical Development and Research Institute*, 380pp.
- [15] Obigbesan G. O. (1980) *In: Potassium Workshop, Oct. 1980, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria.*
- [16] Ohiri A. C., Ogonnaya J. C., Enyinnaya A. M., Ojinaka, T. L., Chukwu G. O. (1988) *Annual Report, NRCRI, Umudike* pp75-77.
- [17] Ohiri A. C., Nwokocho H. N., Okwuowulu P. A. and Chukwu G. O. (1996) *Final report submitted to NAFCON (Nig.) Ltd. NRCRI*, 54pp.
- [18] Peters S. W., Usoro E. J., Udo E. J., Obot U. W. and Okpon S. N. (Eds) (1989) *A technical report of task force on soils and land survey Akwa Ibom State* 603pp.
- [19] IITA (1982) *Selected methods of soil and plant analysis. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture Manual Series No. 7 Ibadan. Nigeria.*
- [20] Agueguia A. (1993) *Agronomy and Crop Science* 171:138-141.
- [21] Snedecor C. W. and Cochran W. G. (1967) *Statistical methods, Iowa State Uni. Press, USA*; 507pp.
- [22] Gomez K. A. and Gomez A. A. (1984) *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research (2nd ed.) John Wiley and Sons, New York* 680pp.
- [23] Goenaga R. (1995) *Ann. Bot.* 76:330-341
- [24] Obasi M. N., Mbanaso E. N. A. and Ano A. O. (2005) *Annual Report NRCRI, Umudike*, pp159-166.
- [25] Miyasaka S. C., Hollyer J. R. and Kodani L. S. (2001) *Field Crops Res.* 71: 101-112.
- [26] Mengel K. and Kirkby E. A. (2001) *Principles of plant nutrition (5th ed.) Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands* pp317-320.
- [27] Cooke G. W. (1982) *ELBS, London*, pp 94-110.
- [28] Mokwunye U. (1980) *In: Organic cycling in Africa soils Bull. FAO, Rome*, No. 43:192-200.
- [29] Lombin L. G. and Abdullahi K. A. (1978) *Samaru Misc. Paper* 55, 12pp.
- [30] IITA (1990) *Cassava in Tropical Africa. A Reference Manual. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan Nigeria*, 176pp.

### End note

Drs. A.U. Udoh, G. A. Iwo assisted immensely in the field in data collection and analysis of the data. They also assisted financially in the area of publication fee.

Table 1- Physico-chemical properties of experimental soils during 2006 and 2007 rainy season at Uyo, Nigeria

Composition	Year	
	2006	2007
<b>Physical composition (g/kg)</b>		
Sand	894.6	908.0
Silt	40.0	42.0
Clay	65.4	50.0
Textural class	Sandy loam	Sandy loam
<b>Chemical characteristics</b>		
pH in (H <sub>2</sub> O) (1:2.5)	5.30	6.50
pH in 0.01m C <sub>a</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (1:2.5)	5.00	5.60
Organic carbon (%)	1.52	3.98
Total Nitrogen (%)	0.04	0.11
Available Phosphorus (mg/kg)	49.99	38.99
<b>Exchangeable bases (cmol/kg)</b>		
Ca	7.20	3.46
Mg	2.03	1.29
K	0.10	0.15
Na	0.04	0.06
Exchangeable Acidity (cmol/kg)	1.80	2.93
ECEC (cmol/kg)	11.17	7.89
Base Saturation (g/kg)	838.9	628.6

Table 2: Chemical properties of poultry manure

Poultry Manure	N	P	K	Mg	Ca	Na	Mn	Cu	Fe	Zn
	(%)	(mg/kg)	(cmol/kg)							
2006	2.66	2620	4395	1846	2243	891.0	227.9	19.0	21.8	183.5
2007	3.20	3500	4752	1760	4600	821.0	214.2	16.8	19.6	164.6

Table 3-Plant height (cm) at 32 WAP and leaf area index (LAI) at 20 WAP of cocoyam as affected by poultry manure and potassium fertilizer in Uyo, Nigeria.

Treatment	Poultry Manure (t/ha)					Poultry Manure (t/ha)				
	0	5	10	15	Mean	0	5	10	15	Mean
<b>Potassium (kg K/ha)</b>	<b>Plant Height 2006</b>					<b>LAI 2006</b>				
0	26.8	32.5	35.8	36.5	32.9	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.08
40	28.5	35.5	37.5	40.9	35.6	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.09
80	30.9	36.9	42.8	43.1	38.4	0.07	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.11
120	32.0	38.2	43.4	44.8	39.6	0.08	0.11	0.16	0.17	0.13
Mean	29.6	35.8	39.9	41.3		0.07	0.09	0.12	0.14	
<b>Potassium (kg K/ha)</b>	<b>2007</b>					<b>2007</b>				
0	28.8	34.5	37.6	39.3	35.1	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.09
40	30.4	37.2	39.5	41.9	37.3	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.13	0.10
80	36.6	39.5	43.9	44.0	41.0	0.09	0.09	0.14	0.17	0.12
120	37.8	41.5	44.7	45.2	42.3	0.10	0.12	0.17	0.18	0.14
Mean	33.4	38.2	41.4	42.6		0.08	0.09	0.13	0.15	

LSD (0.05) between treatment means

<b>Plant height</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>
Poultry manure (P)	0.59	1.25
Potassium fertilizer (K)	0.59	1.25
P x K	1.18	2.50
<b>Leaf area index</b>		
Poultry manure (P)	0.002	0.002
Potassium fertilizer (K)	0.002	0.002
P x K	0.004	0.004

Table 4- Shoot dry matter (g/plant) at 32 WAP and number of corms/cormels of cocoyam as affected by poultry manure and potassium fertilizer in Uyo, Nigeria.

Treatment	Poultry Manure (t/ha)						Poultry Manure (t/ha)				
	0	5	10	15	Mean		0	5	10	15	Mean
Potassium (kg K/ha)	Shoot dry matter 2006						No. of corms/cormels 2006				
0	34.0	37.4	44.0	47.2	40.7		7.0	7.4	7.9	8.5	7.7
40	36.0	39.2	49.8	53.4	44.6		7.3	7.9	8.8	10.0	8.5
80	36.6	42.8	56.8	59.6	49.0		7.8	8.8	9.9	11.4	9.5
120	39.6	46.6	60.6	62.4	52.3		8.4	9.4	11.4	12.7	10.5
Mean	36.6	41.5	52.8	55.7			7.6	8.4	9.5	10.7	
Potassium (kg K/ha)	2007						2007				
0	34.6	39.4	45.0	50.4	42.4		7.2	8.0	8.5	9.0	8.2
40	37.8	42.2	52.2	55.0	46.8		7.5	8.2	9.0	10.2	8.7
80	40.2	45.2	59.9	61.6	51.5		8.1	9.0	10.2	11.8	9.8
120	42.2	48.6	63.7	65.0	54.6		8.8	9.7	11.8	12.9	10.8
Mean	38.8	43.9	55.6	58.0			7.9	8.7	9.9	11.0	

LSD (0.05) between treatment means

<b>Shoot dry matter</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>
Poultry manure (P)	0.52	1.27
Potassium fertilizer (K)	0.52	1.27
P x K	1.04	2.54
<b>No. of corms/cormels</b>		
Poultry manure (P)	0.29	0.32
Potassium fertilizer (K)	0.29	0.32
P x K	0.58	0.64

Table 5-Effect of poultry manure and potassium fertilizer on corms/cormels weight (kg) and corms / cormels yield (t/ha) of cocoyam in Uyo, Nigeria.

Treatment	Poultry Manure (t/ha)						Poultry Manure (t/ha)				
	0	5	10	15	Mean		0	5	10	15	Mean
Potassium (kg K/ha)	Corms/cormels weight 2006						Corms/cormels yield 2006				
0	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.06		2.8	3.5	4.9	5.8	4.3
40	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.06		3.3	4.3	6.4	8.9	5.7
80	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.08		3.8	5.8	10.6	13.0	8.3
120	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.08		5.2	6.6	11.5	13.4	9.2
Mean	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.09			3.8	5.1	8.4	10.3	
Potassium (kg K/ha)	2007						2007				
0	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.06		3.1	3.7	5.4	8.7	5.2
40	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.07		3.8	4.5	6.8	10.2	6.3
80	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.08		4.2	6.3	11.6	14.4	9.1
120	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.08		5.7	6.9	12.7	14.6	10.0
Mean	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.10			4.2	5.4	9.1	12.0	

LSD (0.05) between treatment means

<b>Corms/cormels weight</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>
Poultry manure (P)	0.010	0.004
Potassium fertilizer (K)	0.010	0.004
P x K	0.020	0.008
<b>Corms/cormels yield</b>		
Poultry manure (P)	3.08	3.08
Potassium fertilizer (K)	3.08	3.08
P x K	6.16	6.16